

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,382	06/29/2001	John A. Fee	RIC-00-034	9548	
25537	7590 04/24/2003				
WORLDCOM, INC. TECHNOLOGY LAW DEPARTMENT 1133 19TH STREET NW			EXAMINER		
			SINGH, DALZID E		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2633	$\leftarrow$	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

		= /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/896,382	FEE, JOHN A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dalzid Singh	2633				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>lune 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed in appearance with the practice under						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	11, 455 O.G. 215.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, ,					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But     See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ity documents have been recreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has beer	received.				
Attachment(s)	,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simeonidou et al (US Patent No. 6,249,620) in view of Liu (US Patent No. 5,914,798).

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 27, Simeonidou et al disclose management of a submarine cable network comprising:

monitoring a plurality of physical connections between a first line terminating equipment and a second line terminating equipment (in col. 2, lines 43-46, Simeonidou et al dsiclose network management which monitors state of the optical switch (i.e., optical connections)); and

reconfiguring the submarine cable network based upon the receiving step (see col. 2, lines 49-53).

Simeonidou et al differ from these claims in that Simeonidou et al do not specifically disclose selectively receiving alarm signals from at least one of the first line terminating equipment and the second line terminating equipment. However Liu teaches the use of alarm signals (see col. 2, lines 8-11 and col. 4, lines 19-22). Since the use of alarm signal is well known, as evidence by Liu, therefore it would have been obvious to use alarm signal in order to indicate location of fault. The motivation of

Art Unit: 2633

providing alarm signal is to have a notification system, which indicate a fault has occurred. Once the alarm signal is transmitted regarding the faulty node, a monitoring system will response accordingly by following a preset algorithm to restore lost communication between nodes.

Regarding claims 2, 19 and 28, Simeonidou et al disclose the reconfiguring step is performed to restore service according to a plurality of classes of services (in col. 2, lines 49-57, Simeonidou et al disclose reconfiguration (restoration) to increase capacity according to the customer changing requirements (i.e., classes of services)).

Regarding claims 3, 12, 20 and 29, Simeonidou et al disclose reconfiguring step is performed to provision services on the submarine cable network (in col. 2, lines 49-57 Simeonidou et al disclose reconfiguration (restoration) of the switch).

Regarding claims 4 and 21, Simeonidou et al disclose submarine cable network comprising of switch to forward and receive traffic as discussed above and differ from this claim in that Simeonidou et al do not specifically dsiclose alarm signals and interfacing with the first line terminating equipment (i.e., nodes) and the second line terminating equipment (i.e., nodes) using binary alarm interfaces. However, Liu teaches the use of alarm signal (see claim 1). Since alarm signal is being transmitted by a faulty node, therefore it would have been obvious to provide interface device for the alarm signal in order to received and processed the alarm signal and identify location of fault.

Regarding claims 5 and 22, in col. 2, lines 43-46 Simeonidou et al disclose reconfiguring are performed by a network management module and differ from this

Art Unit: 2633

claim in that Simeonidou et al do not specifically disclose receiving alarm signals.

However, Liu teaches the use of alarm signal (see claim 1).

Regarding claims 6, 15 and 23, Simeonidou et al disclose that the network management module resides within at least one of the first line terminating equipment and the second line terminating equipment (in col. 2, lines 43-46, Simeonidou et al disclose network management is located at the end stations (i.e., first line terminating equipment)).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 24, the combination of Simeonidou et al and Liu differs from these claims in that the combination does not specifically disclose that the network management module resides within a switching system. However, since the system is interconnected by together, therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to provide the network management module anywhere within the network, such as in the switching system, in order to monitor faults within the network.

Regarding claims 8, 16 and 25, in col. 2, lines 43-53, Simeonidou et al disclose reconfiguring step and differ from this claim in that Simeonidou et al do not specifically disclose reconfiguring step comprising:

detecting a fault on one of the plurality of physical connections based upon the received alarm signals;

retrieving restoration information; and

rerouting the traffic on the one physical connection to another one of the plurality of physical connections based upon the restoration information.

Art Unit: 2633

However, Liu teaches the specifics of all of the above steps in response to alarm signal (see col. 2, lines 8-20). Since Simeonidou et al disclose the use of management network system to reconfigure the optical connection, therefore it would have been obvious that there exist a method to indicate a fault in the system and provide reconfiguration of the optical connection as taught by Liu in order to restore communication between different terminals.

Regarding claims 9, 17 and 26, in col. 2, lines 47-53, Simeonidou et al disclose receiving provisioning data that include capacity requirements of a customer and reconfiguring the submarine cable network based upon the capacity requirements.

Regarding claim 11, Simeonidou et al disclose network management which provides restoration service according to a plurality of classes of services (see claim 2) and differ from this claim in that Simeonidou et al do not specifically disclose a database configured to store restoration services. However, Liu teaches the use of database configured to store restoration (see col. 2, lines 12-15). Since there is plurality of optical connections connected to the switch (i.e., branching unit), therefore it would have been obvious to provide a database system in order to store and identify each connection and provide an alternate route in case a fault occurs in the network.

Regarding claim 18, Simeonidou et al disclose management of a submarine cable network comprising:

monitoring a plurality of physical connections between a first line terminating equipment and a second line terminating equipment (in col. 2, lines 43-46, Simeonidou

Art Unit: 2633

et al dsiclose network management which monitors state of the optical switch (i.e., optical connections)); and

reconfiguring the submarine cable network based upon the receiving step (see col. 2, lines 49-53).

Simeonidou et al differ from these claims in that Simeonidou et al do not specifically disclose selectively receiving alarm signals from at least one of the first line terminating equipment and the second line terminating equipment. However Liu teaches the use of alarm signals (see col. 2, lines 8-11 and col. 4, lines 19-22). Since the use of alarm signal is well known, as evidence by Liu, therefore it would have been obvious to use alarm signal in order to indicate location of fault. The motivation of providing alarm signal is to have a notification system, which indicate a fault has occurred. Once the alarm signal is transmitted regarding the faulty node, a monitoring system will response accordingly by following a preset algorithm to restore lost communication between nodes.

Furthermore, Liu teaches a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions for providing network management of a network, the one or more sequences of one or snore instructions including instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, cause the one or more processors to perform the step discussed above (see col. 2, lines 9-36 and Figs. 6A-6C and 7-9).

Art Unit: 2633

Conclusion

Page 7

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Fee (US Patent No. 5,884,017) is cited to show method and

system for optical restoration tributary switching in a fiber network.

Fee et al (US Patent No. 5,956,165) is cited to show method and apparatus for

updating subcarrier modulation in a communication network.

Liu (US Patent No. 6,005,694) is cited to show method and system for detecting

optical faults within the optical domain of a fiber communication network.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is 703-306-5619.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

DS

April 15, 2003

JASON CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**